



1 2 3	Original Article MONITORING TRAINING LOADS: THOR'S HAMMER FOR WINNING IN TEAM SPORTS
4	
5	GABRIEL FERNANDO ESTEVES CARDIA ¹
6	¹ Adjunct professor of Department of Physical Education, State University of
7	Maringa, Maringá, PR, Brazil. E-mail adresses: gfecardia2@uem.br
8	
9	DOI: 10.16887/fiepbulletin.v94i1.6804

10

11 Abstract

Introduction: Monitoring training loads is a powerful tool to achieve victory in 12 team sports, represented by Thor's hammer metaphor. Objective: This 13 scientific article discusses the importance of monitoring training loads in team 14 sports for optimizing athletic performance and preventing injuries. Methods: 15 16 The article presents a review of the literature on monitoring training loads in team sports, focusing on advancements in the field, including internal and 17 external load monitoring, monitoring tools, and monitoring devices. Results: 18 The review emphasizes the importance of implementing multifaceted athlete 19 monitoring systems to ensure that the correct training dose is given at the right 20 time, increase physical conditioning, and decrease fatigue. Conclusion: The 21 article concludes that a scientific approach to load monitoring is essential for 22 23 optimizing athletic performance and preventing injuries. Comprehensive monitoring should address mechanical, physiological, psychological, social, 24 behavioral, and cognitive factors. Therefore, it is essential that coaches 25 understand the importance of monitoring training loads and include it in their 26 training programs, as a powerful weapon to increase performance in 27 28 competitions.

Keywords: Training loads, Collective sports, Monitoring training, Monitoring
 tools.

С	1
Э	т

32

33

Article original

34 SURVEILLANCE DES CHARGES D'ENTRAINEMENT : LE MARTEAU DE 35 THOR POUR GAGNER DANS LES SPORTS D'EQUIPE

36 Abstrait

Introduction: La surveillance des charges d'entraînement est un outil puissant 37 pour atteindre la victoire dans les sports d'équipe, représentée par la 38 métaphore du marteau de Thor. Objectif: L'article présente une revue de la 39 littérature sur la surveillance des charges d'entraînement dans les sports 40 41 d'équipe, en mettant l'accent sur les avancées dans le domaine, y compris la surveillance des charges internes et externes, les outils de surveillance et les 42 dispositifs de surveillance. Méthodes: L'article présente une revue de la 43 littérature sur la surveillance des charges d'entraînement dans les sports 44 45 d'équipe, en mettant l'accent sur les avancées dans le domaine, y compris la surveillance des charges internes et externes, les outils de surveillance et les 46 dispositifs de surveillance. Résultats: La revue met l'accent sur l'importance de 47 48 la mise en place de systèmes de surveillance polyvalents des athlètes pour garantir que la dose d'entraînement correcte soit administrée au bon moment, 49 50 augmenter condition physique réduire la et la fatique. Conclusion: L'article conclut qu'une approche scientifique de la surveillance 51 des charges est essentielle pour optimiser les performances athlétiques et 52 prévenir les blessures. La surveillance complète devrait aborder les facteurs 53 54 mécaniques, physiologiques, psychologiques, sociaux, comportementaux et cognitifs. Par conséquent, il est essentiel que les entraîneurs comprennent 55 l'importance de la surveillance des charges d'entraînement et l'intègrent dans 56 57 leurs programmes d'entraînement, en tant gu'arme puissante pour améliorer les performances en compétition. 58

59 *Mots-clés:* Charges d'entraînement, Sports collectifs, Surveillance de l'entraînement, Outils de surveillance.

61

62

63

64

Artículo original

MONITORIZACIÓN DE LAS CARGAS DE ENTRENAMIENTO: EL MARTILLO DE THOR PARA GANAR EN LOS DEPORTES DE EQUIPO

65 66

67 **Resumen**

68

Introducción: La monitorización de las cargas de entrenamiento es una 69 poderosa herramienta para alcanzar la victoria en los deportes de equipo, 70 representada por la metáfora del martillo de Thor. Objetivo: Este artículo 71 científico discute la importancia de la monitorización de las cargas de 72 entrenamiento en los deportes de equipo para optimizar el rendimiento atlético 73 74 y prevenir lesiones. Métodos: El artículo presenta una revisión de la literatura 75 sobre la monitorización de las cargas de entrenamiento en los deportes de equipo, centrándose en los avances en el campo, incluyendo la monitorización 76

de las cargas internas y externas, las herramientas de monitorización y los 77 dispositivos de seguimiento. Resultados: La revisión enfatiza la importancia de 78 79 implementar sistemas de monitorización multifacéticos de los atletas para garantizar que la dosis de entrenamiento correcta se administre en el momento 80 adecuado, aumentar la condición física y disminuir la fatiga. Conclusión: El 81 artículo concluye que un enfoque científico de la monitorización de las cargas 82 es esencial para optimizar el rendimiento atlético y prevenir lesiones. La 83 monitorización integral debe abordar factores mecánicos, fisiológicos. 84 psicológicos, sociales, conductuales y cognitivos. Por lo tanto, es esencial que 85 los entrenadores comprendan la importancia de la monitorización de las cargas 86 de entrenamiento y la incluyan en sus programas de entrenamiento, como un 87 poderoso instrumento para aumentar el rendimiento en las competiciones. 88 Palabras clave: Cargas de entrenamiento, Deportes colectivos, Monitorización 89 del entrenamiento, Herramientas de monitorización. 90

91

92

Artigo Original

MONITORAMENTO DAS CARGAS DE TREINAMENTO: O MARTELO DE THOR PARA A VITÓRIA NOS ESPORTES COLETIVOS

95 Resumo

Introdução: O monitoramento das cargas de treinamento é uma ferramenta 96 poderosa para alcançar a vitória nos esportes coletivos, representada pela 97 metáfora do martelo de Thor. Objetivo: Este artigo científico discute a 98 importância do monitoramento das cargas de treinamento nos esportes 99 coletivos para otimizar o desempenho atlético e prevenir lesões. Métodos: O 100 101 artigo apresenta uma revisão da literatura sobre o monitoramento das cargas de treinamento nos esportes coletivos, com foco nos avanços no campo, 102 incluindo a monitoração das cargas internas e externas, as ferramentas de 103 monitoramento e os dispositivos de monitoramento. Resultados: A revisão 104 importância de implementar sistemas multifacetados 105 enfatiza а de monitoramento de atletas para garantir que a dose de treinamento correta seja 106 107 administrada no momento adequado, aumentando a condição física e diminuindo a fadiga. Conclusão: O artigo conclui que uma abordagem 108 científica para o monitoramento de cargas é essencial para otimizar o 109 110 desempenho atlético e prevenir lesões. O monitoramento abrangente deve abordar fatores mecânicos, fisiológicos, psicológicos, sociais, comportamentais 111 e cognitivos. Portanto, é essencial que os treinadores compreendam a 112 importância do monitoramento das cargas de treinamento e o incluam em seus 113 programas de treinamento, como uma ferramenta poderosa para aumentar o 114 desempenho nas competições. 115

Palavras-chave: Cargas de treinamento, Esportes coletivos, Monitoramento de
 treinamento, Ferramentas de monitoramento.

118

119 Introduction

120 Physical training in team sports is a complex process aimed at improving 121 athletes' performance through progressive exercises that promote morphological and functional adaptations, resulting in improved physical
 conditioning and sports performance by refining physical, technical, tactical, and
 mental skills (BARBANTI; TRICOLI; UGRINOWITSCH, 2004; ISSURIN, 2010).
 However, for this process to occur efficiently, an organized and structured
 training plan is necessary (IMPELLIZZERI et al., 2020; ISSURIN, 2010).

127 Regular monitoring programs are essential to ensure that training 128 produces desirable results. The application of tests and evaluations allows the 129 coach to diagnose and understand the performance of athletes and make 130 decisions about changes in the training program, optimizing the performance 131 and minimizing the risk of injuries and diseases related to training 132 (BARTOLOMEI et al., 2014; BOURDON et al., 2017).

In addition, adjustments to training loads should occur at various times
 during the training cycle, aiming to increase or decrease fatigue, depending on
 the training goals. Adequate fatigue levels are fundamental for training
 adaptations and competition team performance (PYNE; MARTIN, 2011).

137 Despite the extensive research on monitoring training loads in team 138 sports, the literature still needs a comprehensive overview of the different 139 purposes that monitoring serves. Monitoring training loads is a powerful tool to 140 achieve victory in team sports, represented by Thor's hammer metaphor. 141 Therefore, coaches must comprehend the significance of monitoring training 142 loads and incorporate them into their training programs.

143

144 Methods

145 In this manuscript, we conducted a literature review of advancements in monitoring training loads in team sports. For this systematic review, we adapted 146 the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 147 (PRISMA) guidelines (PAGE et al., 2021) to suit the specific requirements of our 148 study. This approach allowed us to maintain the robust, evidence-based 149 150 structure of the PRISMA guidelines while making specific adjustments to better align with the objectives of our research. Adapting the PRISMA methodology 151 provided the advantage of ensuring high quality and reproducibility of study 152 results while tailoring it to our research needs. 153

Based on the analysis of the selected articles, trends and gaps in the literature that need to be addressed were identified. The review included a thorough search and screening of relevant articles, critically appraising their methodological quality and synthesizing their results. The present study aims to provide a reliable and transparent account of the current state of monitoring training loads in team sports and contribute to the advancement of the field by identifying gaps and limitations in the existing literature

To carry out this review, electronic searches were conducted on the Web of Science, PubMed, and Scopus databases, searching for relevant studies published in English that utilized the keywords "Training loads", and "Collective sports", and "Monitoring Training", or "Internal load", or "External load", or "Monitoring Tools". Data extraction was performed in a non-combined and standardized manner. It is important to note that only peer-reviewed journal articles were included in the analysis.

168 The research evolution was analyzed, considering journals, sports 169 modalities and contexts, competition level, gender, monitoring devices, accelerometer-based variables, and technical characteristics. A growing
 number of publications on monitoring training load were identified,
 demonstrating an increasing interest of the scientific community in this area.

174 **Results and discussion**:

175 Training loads

Training load is an essential variable in athletes' preparation for competitions and is generally divided into external and internal loads (IMPELLIZZERI; MARCORA; COUTTS, 2019). It is a stimulus that the athlete experiences before, during, or after the training process. It is considered a manipulable input that can be adjusted to obtain a favorable training response (IMPELLIZZERI; MARCORA; COUTTS, 2019; THORPE et al., 2017).

Although terms such as training load, fatigue, injury, and illness are standard in exercise and sports science, more definitions and usage must be consistent. Therefore, we will use the term "training load," defined as the stress placed on the body by the activity performed (THORPE et al., 2017).

Training load comprises internal and external workloads, with internal training load quantifying the physical burden experienced by the athlete and external training load describing the quantification of work performed by the athlete (BORRESEN; IAN LAMBERT, 2009; HALSON, 2014).

To monitor training load, it is necessary to quantify it consistently and accurately. This should be the foundation of any athlete monitoring system. The quantification of monitoring data can assist coaches and technical staff in interpreting and applying an individualized workload for each athlete in preparation for competition, prescribing specific loads, and predicting subsequent physiological responses to the load (AKYILDIZ et al., 2022; FREITAS et al., 2014).

The scientific literature, as referenced by authors such as Impellizzeri, 197 Marcora, Coutts, Thorpe, Borresen, Lambert, Halson, Akvildi and Freitas, 198 assumes a pivotal role in shaping the comprehension of training load and its 199 200 constituents. The consistent and precise quantification of training load emerges 201 as a fundamental element within any athlete monitoring system, holding the potential to provide guidance to coaches and technical staff in the delineation of 202 tailored workloads and anticipation of physiological responses. The authors 203 204 emphasize the imperative for standardized definitions and usage of 205 terminologies, including training load, fatigue, injury, and illness, within the domain of exercise and sports science, thereby accentuating the necessity for 206 207 lucidity in communication within the field.

The criteria for analyzing the scientific works on training loads include the 208 recognition of internal and external components. the importance 209 of quantification for effective monitoring, and the application of findings to 210 prescribe individualized workloads. The cited studies contribute to the 211 establishment of a comprehensive understanding of training load, presenting it 212 213 as a manipulable input that influences athletes' responses to training. The integration of this knowledge into athlete preparation is underscored, with a 214 focus on minimizing injury risks and optimizing team performance. In essence, 215 216 the literature reviewed emphasizes the crucial role of training load in achieving 217 athletic success and advocates for a systematic and well-defined approach to 218 its analysis and application in sports science.

- 219
- 220

221 Monitoring Training Load in Team Sports

Monitoring training load in team sports can be more challenging than in individual sports due to the diversity of training activities and the importance of assessing the sport's tactical performance and cognitive load (GABBETT et al., 2017; HALSON, 2014; MCGUIGAN, 2017).

Furthermore, implementing an effective monitoring system can take time due to many athletes and the multifactorial complexity of sports performance, training, and game demands (HALSON, 2014). Despite these challenges, monitoring athletes' training load is crucial to achieve specific physiological responses that promote adaptations associated with performance changes (DREW; FINCH, 2016; HALSON, 2014; LAMBERT; BORRESEN, 2010; SCHNEIDER et al., 2018).

training promotes several physical and physiological 233 Physical adaptations in athletes, which can increase sports performance. Nevertheless, 234 inadequate physical training can lead to low performance, while excessive 235 236 training can accumulate fatigue and its concomitants, impairing the athlete's performance, and increasing the risk of injuries and illnesses. Therefore, 237 researchers and team sports coaches strive to determine the precise 238 239 relationship for the best possible "dose-response" between the effects caused 240 by training and the athlete's resources (VANRENTERGHEM et al., 2017).

In addition to its role in promoting adaptation and preventing injury, 241 242 monitoring enables retrospectively examining the relationships between training load levels and athletes' performance. Adequate planning of training loads and 243 competitions can then be made to reduce the risk of injuries and non-functional 244 overload (HALSON, 2014; PYNE; MARTIN, 2011). Therefore, physiological 245 alterations, evaluation of movement patterns, and indicators of sport-specific 246 abilities are essential variables for monitoring athletes in team sports. 247 248 Performance in team sports competitions, including the influence of team tactics, environmental conditions, team cohesion, and playing at home or away, 249 must also be evaluated (BUCHHEIT, 2014; PYNE; MARTIN, 2011; THORPE et 250 al., 2017). 251

Elite team sports athletes are exposed to high competitive loads, making fatigue management critical to reducing injury and illness (BOURDON et al., 2017; THORPE et al., 2017). Having a consistent and similar training structure for each week during the competitive period is also critical. This can provide weekly training and testing schedules to monitor loads during the week or after the game, minimizing the effects of muscle fatigue (MCGUIGAN, 2017; THORPE et al., 2017).

Thus, although dose-response relationships are a challenge in properly quantifying load, volume, and training intensity, comprehensive monitoring is crucial to understanding athletes' response to training and modifying training and recovery strategies (GABBETT et al., 2017; THORPE et al., 2017). The

amount of work performed by athletes in training and games and the 263 264 consequent individual responses positively or negatively affect their 265 performance, leaving them more or less vulnerable to injuries. The load monitoring process should, therefore, help coaches make decisions about the 266 267 availability of players for training and competition(BOURDON et al., 2017), with 268 the main objectives of improving performance and preventing injuries (AKENHEAD; NASSIS, 2016; BOURDON et al., 2017; VANRENTERGHEM et 269 al., 2017). For this reason, and due to technological developments and 270 analytical methods, there is a large set of models for monitoring training loads 271 (GÓMEZ-CARMONA et al., 2020; VANRENTERGHEM et al., 2017). 272

In this way, planning is an essential part of the training process. However, for the plans to be successful, the monitoring process should be carried out satisfactorily in order to assess the interaction between the resulting external loads compared to those that were planned(IMPELLIZZERI et al., 2020), and to analyze the dose-response of these training loads in teams and individually (WEST et al., 2021).

The literature converges on the pivotal role of physical training in 279 promoting various physical and physiological adaptations, acknowledging the 280 281 delicate balance required to prevent low performance or excessive fatigue. Researchers and team sports coaches are tasked with determining the optimal 282 "dose-response" relationship between training effects and athlete resources. 283 284 This challenge is further compounded by the intricate interplay of factors such as team tactics, environmental conditions, team cohesion, and home or away 285 dames. 286

287 The high competitive loads faced by elite team sports athletes underscore the critical importance of fatigue management in injury and illness 288 prevention. Consistency in training structure during the competitive period is 289 highlighted as a key strategy, with weekly schedules facilitating load monitoring 290 291 and minimizing the impact of muscle fatigue. The dose-response relationships inherent in quantifying load, volume, and training intensity pose challenges, 292 293 emphasizing the need for comprehensive monitoring to understand athletes' 294 responses and tailor training and recovery strategies.

295 Importance of Individualized Training Load Monitoring

Athletes respond differently to the same training stimuli, making 296 297 individualized training load monitoring a crucial aspect of optimizing performance and reducing injury risk. Monitoring workload demands for each 298 individual athlete can help identify those who are not responding to the training 299 program, preventing overtraining and injuries (BOURDON et al., 2017; GÓMEZ-300 CARMONA et al., 2020). In addition, individual monitoring ensures that the 301 302 applied workload aligns with the coach's prescribed plan and the athlete's internal load is consistent with what the coach intends (BRINK et al., 2010; 303 304 HALSON, 2014; IMPELLIZZERI et al., 2020; PAULSON et al., 2015).

In order to ensure optimal athletic performance and minimize the risk of 305 injuries and overtraining, it is essential to accurately quantify the workload 306 307 demands placed on athletes during training and competition (VANRENTERGHEM et al., 2017). Individualized load monitoring can identify 308 309 athletes who are not responding to the training program and where there may be a dissociation between external and internal loads. 310

Overall, the use of monitoring data allows for the ability to describe, plan, and recursively monitor training load based on specific sport characteristics, meeting the needs of athletes and coaches. Therefore, a good individualized monitoring program is an essential tool for updating the quantitative description of the team and properly monitoring the training load of each athlete. By doing so, athletes can achieve their optimal performance while minimizing injury risk.

317 *Monitoring Training Loads for Sports Performance*

Effective workload management involves understanding the interrelationships between training and competition and adjusting training volumes and intensities to facilitate necessary adaptations and maintain players' physical fitness. Irregular workload dynamics between different sports contexts can negatively impact athletes' health and performance, underscoring the importance of careful workload management throughout the training and competition cycles (GABBETT, 2016; REINA ROMÁN et al., 2019).

The selection of appropriate sports tasks and effective workload planning 325 during competitive microcycles are essential factors in optimizing sports 326 327 performance. Careful and thoughtful planning of training sessions that align with the sport's technical, tactical, and physical objectives can help optimize the 328 adaptation process and facilitate athletes' readiness for peak performance 329 330 (DREW; COOK; FINCH, 2016; MARTÍN-GARCÍA et al., 2018). Since, irregular workload dynamics between different sports contexts can negatively impact 331 athletes' health and performance (GABBETT, 2016). This way, prevention 332 333 strategies should be implemented in conjunction with workload management strategies to optimize athlete health and performance (FINCH; KEMP; 334 CLAPPERTON, 2015). 335

To optimize athletic performance and minimize the risk of injuries, it is 336 essential to understand the physical and physiological stresses that athletes 337 experience during both training and competition. This understanding is critical in 338 designing practical training sessions that align with the sport's technical, tactical, 339 340 and physical objectives and upcoming competitions, particularly during competitive microcycles. In this way, coaches can anticipate peak performance 341 in competition by carefully designing training sessions that align with specific 342 343 performance goals and adjusting training volumes and intensities based on an 344 athlete's needs and characteristics (AKUBAT; BARRETT; ABT, 2014; CUMMINS et al., 2013). 345

346 Furthermore, to ensure training effectiveness, monitoring the athlete throughout all training phases is essential. By systematically monitoring athletes 347 during training sessions, coaches and trainers can gather valuable data on 348 athletes' physical and physiological responses to different training loads and 349 adjust training programs to optimize performance and minimize the risk of 350 351 injuries. Recent research has emphasized the importance of comprehensive 352 monitoring strategies, including wearable technologies and other advanced monitoring tools (JOHNSTON et al., 2021). 353

For this purpose, the combined monitoring of internal responses with the external demands of workload is essential. This is possible through different variables based on tracking systems or accelerometry, which allow for objective monitoring of workload (AKUBAT; BARRETT; ABT, 2014; CUMMINS et al., 2013). The selection of appropriate workload indices is crucial for their control and a clear presentation of the results for better decision-making by the team's staff (ROJAS-VALVERDE et al., 2019). In summary, monitoring training loads is
 fundamental for the success of sports performance, ensuring that athletes are
 adequately prepared.

The reviewed literature emphasizes the importance of monitoring training loads but underscores the need for more personalized approaches, taking into account individual variability and the specific demands of different sports. Additionally, the integration of psychological factors into training load management deserves more in-depth attention. It is recommended that future research explores these gaps to provide more comprehensive and applicable insights for sports training professionals.

370 Internal and External Training Loads Monitoring.

In team sports, group training is frequent and essential for improving athletes' 371 technical and tactical skills. However, although athletes are subjected to similar 372 373 external loads, the internal load can vary according to each athlete's characteristics. Training External Loads (TEL) refer to the work done by athletes 374 during planned activities by the coaching staff (BOURDON et al., 2017; 375 IMPELLIZZERI; MARCORA; COUTTS, 2019). Although these variables are 376 easily measurable, they cannot reflect the real training stress since, within a 377 team, athletes can perceive and assimilate the same TEL differently according 378 379 to their individual characteristics (BUCHHEIT et al., 2018).

On the other hand, Training Internal Loads (TIL) is the physiological and 380 psychological response of the body to the stress imposed by training, influenced 381 382 by factors such as physical conditioning and genetic potential. Accurately monitoring this variable is crucial for the success of applied training. 383 Additionally, it is necessary to know the internal load of each athlete to distribute 384 385 appropriately and avoid unwanted adaptations (HALSON, 2014; it IMPELLIZZERI; MARCORA; COUTTS, 2019). 386

The external load has been the basis of most monitoring systems, but the 387 internal load is fundamental in determining the training load and subsequent 388 389 adaptation. TIL can be measured through heart rate, training impulses (TRIMPs), and the session's subjective perceived exertion (PSE). On the other 390 hand, TEL is easily measurable through distance covered, speed, and the 391 392 number of sprints or jumps, among others (BOURDON et al., 2017; IMPELLIZZERI; MARCORA; COUTTS, 2019). Therefore, monitoring internal 393 and external load in team sports should be performed jointly, allowing for a 394 395 more effective and personalized training prescription, ensuring the success of applied training and avoiding unwanted adaptations. 396

The identified gap in the literature revolves around the necessity to delve 397 into the complexity of TIL, encompassing physiological and psychological 398 responses influenced by factors such as physical conditioning and genetic 399 400 potential. While external loads form the foundation of most monitoring systems, 401 the internal load is posited as fundamental in determining training load and subsequent adaptation. The cited works advocate for a more holistic approach, 402 emphasizing that TIL, measured through heart rate, training impulses, and 403 404 subjective perceived exertion, must be considered in conjunction with TEL 405 metrics.

The conclusion drawn from this analysis is that the existing literature leans heavily on external load metrics, potentially neglecting the nuanced internal responses crucial for effective training prescription. Future research should focus on refining monitoring systems to integrate both internal and
external load assessments in team sports. This would lead to more
personalized and effective training strategies, mitigating the risk of unwanted
adaptations and ensuring the success of applied training. The emphasis on joint
monitoring of internal and external loads emerges as a pivotal step in advancing
the understanding and application of training loads in team sports.

415 **Conclusion**

416 While there is still a lack of studies on team sports athletes, especially 417 regarding the effects of different types of load on performance and injury prevention, this review provides some practical recommendations for coaches 418 and practitioners who work with this population. Ensuring that the methods used 419 420 are reliable, valid, and sensitive enough to measure and impact performance is essential. In addition, comprehensive monitoring must address mechanical, 421 physiological, psychological, social, behavioural, and cognitive factors to 422 optimize performance and prevent injury. Thus, sports professionals must adopt 423 424 a scientific approach to load monitoring and use objective and subjective indicators, integrating complementary approaches in training monitoring with 425 evidence-based training effects to optimize the performance of team sports 426 427 athletes. Future research should focus on developing more specific and 428 individualized load monitoring tools for team sports athletes and investigating the optimal balance between training load and recovery strategies. 429

430

- 431 *Conflict of Interest Statement*
- 432 No conflicts of interest exist in relation to the present study
- 433

434 **References**

Akenhead, R., & Nassis, G. P. (2016). Training Load and Player Monitoring in
High-Level Football: Current Practice and Perceptions. International Journal
of Sports Physiology and Performance, 11(5), 587–593.

Akubat, I., Barrett, S., & Abt, G. (2014). Integrating the Internal and External
Training Loads in Soccer. International Journal of Sports Physiology and
Performance, 9(3), 457–462.

Akyildiz, Z., Nobari, H., González-Fernández, F. T., Praça, G. M., Sarmento, H.,
Guler, A. H., Saka, E. K., Clemente, F. M., & Figueiredo, A. J. (2022). Variations
in the physical demands and technical performance of professional soccer
teams over three consecutive seasons. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 2412.

Barbanti, V. J., Tricoli, V. A. A., & Ugrinowitsch, C. (2004). Relevância do
conhecimento científico na prática do treinamento físico. Revista Paulista de
Educação Física, 18(esp.), 101–109.

Bartolomei, S., Hoffman, J. R., Merni, F., & Stout, J. R. (2014). A Comparison of
Traditional and Block Periodized Strength Training Programs in Trained
Athletes. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 28(4), 990–997.

Borresen, J., & Ian Lambert, M. (2009). The Quantification of Training Load, the
Training Response and the Effect on Performance. **Sports Medicine**, 39(9),
779–795.

Bourdon, P. C., Cardinale, M., Murray, A., Gastin, P., Kellmann, M., Varley, M.
C., Gabbett, T. J., Coutts, A. J., Burgess, D. J., Gregson, W., & Cable, N. T.
(2017). Monitoring Athlete Training Loads: Consensus Statement. International
Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 12(s2), S2-161-S2-170.

- 458 Buchheit, M. (2014). Monitoring training status with HR measures: do all roads 459 lead to Rome? **Frontiers in Physiology**, 5.
- Buchheit, M., Lacome, M., Cholley, Y., & Simpson, B. M. (2018).
 Neuromuscular Responses to Conditioned Soccer Sessions Assessed via GPSEmbedded Accelerometers: Insights Into Tactical Periodization. International
 Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 13(5), 577–583.
- 464 Cummins, C., Orr, R., O'Connor, H., & West, C. (2013). Global Positioning
 465 Systems (GPS) and Microtechnology Sensors in Team Sports: A Systematic
 466 Review. Sports Medicine, 43(10), 1025–1042.
- Drew, M. K., Cook, J., & Finch, C. F. (2016). Sports-related workload and injury
 risk: simply knowing the risks will not prevent injuries: Narrative review. British
 Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(21), 1306–1308.
- Drew, M. K., & Finch, C. F. (2016). The Relationship Between Training Load
 and Injury, Illness and Soreness: A Systematic and Literature Review. Sports
 Medicine, 46(6), 861–883.
- Freitas, V. H., Nakamura, F. Y., Miloski, B., Samulski, D., & Bara-Filho, M. G.
 (2014). Sensitivity of physiological and psychological markers to training load
 intensification in volleyball players. Journal of Sports Science & Medicine,
 13(3), 571–579.
- Gabbett, T. J., Nassis, G. P., Oetter, E., Pretorius, J., Johnston, N., Medina, D.,
 Rodas, G., Myslinski, T., Howells, D., Beard, A., & Ryan, A. (2017). The athlete
 monitoring cycle: a practical guide to interpreting and applying training
 monitoring data. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 51(20), 1451–1452.
- 481 Gómez-Carmona, C. D., Bastida-Castillo, A., Ibáñez, S. J., & Pino-Ortega, J.
 482 (2020). Accelerometry as a method for external workload monitoring in invasion
 483 team sports. A systematic review. **PLOS ONE**, 15(8), e0236643.
- Halson, S. L. (2014). Monitoring Training Load to Understand Fatigue in
 Athletes. Sports Medicine, 44(S2), 139–147.
- Impellizzeri, F. M., Marcora, S. M., & Coutts, A. J. (2019). Internal and External
 Training Load: 15 Years On. International Journal of Sports Physiology and
 Performance, 14(2), 270–273.
- Impellizzeri, F. M., Menaspà, P., Coutts, A. J., Kalkhoven, J., & Menaspà, M. J.
 (2020). Training Load and Its Role in Injury Prevention, Part I: Back to the
 Future. Journal of Athletic Training, 55(9), 885–892.

- Issurin, V. B. (2010). New Horizons for the Methodology and Physiology of
 Training Periodization. Sports Medicine, 40(3), 189–206.
- Johnston, R. D., Murray, N. B., Austin, D. J., & Duthie, G. (2021). Peak
 Movement and Technical Demands of Professional Australian Football
 Competition. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 35(10), 2818–
 2823.
- Lambert, M. I., & Borresen, J. (2010). Measuring Training Load in Sports.
 International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 5, 406–411.
- 500 McGuigan, M. (2017). Monitoring training and performance in athletes. **Human** 501 **Kinetics**.
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C.,
 Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou,
 R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E.
 W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020
 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, n71.
- Paulson, T. A. W., Mason, B., Rhodes, J., & Goosey-Tolfrey, V. L. (2015).
 Individualized Internal and External Training Load Relationships in Elite
 Wheelchair Rugby Players. Frontiers in Physiology, 6.
- 510 Pyne, D., & Martin, D. (2011). Fatigue insights from individual and team 511 sports. In Regulation of Fatigue in Exercise (pp. 177–185). Nova Science.
- Reina Román, M., García-Rubio, J., Feu, S., & Ibáñez, S. J. (2019). Training
 and Competition Load Monitoring and Analysis of Women's Amateur Basketball
 by Playing Position: Approach Study. Frontiers in Psychology, 9.
- Rojas-Valverde, D., Gómez-Carmona, C. D., Gutiérrez-Vargas, R., & PinoOrtega, J. (2019). From big data mining to technical sport reports: the case of
 inertial measurement units. **BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine**, 5(1),
 e000565.
- Thorpe, R. T., Atkinson, G., Drust, B., & Gregson, W. (2017). Monitoring
 Fatigue Status in Elite Team-Sport Athletes: Implications for Practice.
 International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 12(s2), 27-34.
- Vanrenterghem, J., Nedergaard, N. J., Robinson, M. A., & Drust, B. (2017).
 Training Load Monitoring in Team Sports: A Novel Framework Separating
 Physiological and Biomechanical Load-Adaptation Pathways. Sports Medicine,
 47(11), 2135–2142.
- West, S. W., Clubb, J., Torres-Ronda, L., Howells, D., Leng, E., Vescovi, J. D., Carmody, S., Posthumus, M., Dalen-Lorentsen, T., & Windt, J. (2021). More than a Metric: How Training Load is Used in Elite Sport for Athlete Management. **International Journal of Sports Medicine**, 42(04), 300–306.